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## This statement details our school’s use of pupil premium (and recovery premium for the 2025 to 2028 academic year) funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

## It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the effect that last year’s spending of pupil premium had within our school.

## School overview

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Detail | Data |
| School name | Mapplewell Primary |
| Number of pupils in school  | 340 |
| Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils2025 2026 | 14% (51 pupils)*Deprivation 41**Service 3**LAC 3**Adopted from care 4* |
| Academic year/years that our current pupil premium strategy plan covers **(3 year plans are recommended)** | 2025 - 2028 |
| Date this statement was published | September 2025 |
| Date on which it will be reviewed | July 2026July 2027July 2028 |
| Statement authorised by | Gail Padfield |
| Pupil premium lead | Sam Garrood |
| Governor / Trustee lead | Jocelyn Egan |

**Funding overview**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Detail** | **Amount** |
| Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year2025 2026 | £69,020 |
| Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable) | £0 |
| **Total budget for this academic year 2025 2026**If your school is an academy in a trust that pools this funding, state the amount available to your school this academic year | £69,020 |

# Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan

## Statement of intent

|  |
| --- |
| At Mapplewell Primary School we are determined that all pupils are given the best possible chance to achieve their full potential through the highest standards of quality first teaching, focused support, curriculum enrichment and pastoral care. We recognise that all pupils regardless of their background, should have equal access to a curriculum which will enable them to reach their potential. We believe that the highest possible standards can only be achieved by having high expectations of all learners. Some pupils from disadvantaged backgrounds require additional support; therefore, we will use all the resources available to help them reach their full potential, including the Pupil Premium grant. We believe that the additional provision through the Pupil Premium funding should be available to all pupils within school who we know to be disadvantaged / vulnerable. This document outlines the amount of funding available, our strategy for spending the grant effectively and how we have used research-based evidence to support our actions. As a school we consider the best ways to allocate Pupil Premium funding annually following rigorous data analysis and the careful consideration of the needs of the pupils. At Mapplewell we have a clear, strategic, tiered approach for Pupil Premium funding provision. This follows the EEF guidance and focuses on the following:* Whole-school strategies that impact all pupils
* Focused support to target under-performance
* Specific support targeting pupil premium pupils

We ensure that Pupil Premium funding and provision impacts positively on attainment, attendance and well-being. A member of our local governing body is identified as a link governor for Pupil Premium, regular meetings with leaders of the school take place where monitoring, provision and outcomes are shared and discussed. The link governor then feeds back key findings from these meetings at full governing body meetings.  |

## Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Challenge number | Detail of challenge  |
| 1 | On entry to disadvantaged pupils have limited oral language skills leading to slower reading progress in subsequent years.  |
| 2 | Identification that on average disadvantaged pupils do not achieve as well as their peers across the curriculum *(****KS2 July 2025:*** *PP RWM combined 66.7% / Non PP RWM combined 78.8%*  |
| 3 | Many disadvantaged pupils demonstrate low self-esteem with limited aspirations for future education. |
| 4 | Lack of parental engagement with regards to their child’s education. |
| 5 | Historically disadvantaged pupils have lower attendance rates than non-disadvantaged. *(****July 2025:*** *PP 93.52% / Non PP 95.8%)* |

## Intended outcomes

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for **by the end of our current strategy plan**, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Intended outcome | Success criteria |
| Ensure attainment of phonics remains in line or above for disadvantaged pupils compared to non-disadvantaged. ***2025*** *100% PP / 92.9% Non PP*  | Disadvantaged pupils will attain in line with their peers in the Year 1 Phonics Screening Check. |
| Raise the attainment of disadvantaged pupils in times tables. ***2025*** *APS PP 22.00 / Non PP 23.61*  | Disadvantaged pupils will attain in line with their peers in the Year 4 Multiplication Times Table Check. |
| Increase the attainment disadvantaged pupils, in EYFS and increase progress rates.***2025*** *GLD PP 50% / Non PP 70.7%* | Disadvantaged pupils will attain in line with their peers when attaining GLD by the end of EYFS. |
| To maintain the high levels of attainment and positive progress measures for disadvantaged pupils at the end of KS2.***2025 KS2 Data***

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Pupil Premium  | Non-Pupil Premium |
| Reading EXS | 83% | 91% |
| Writing EXS | 75% | 79% |
| Maths EXS | 82% | 78% |
| Av Scaled Scores | Pupil Premium  | Non-Pupil Premium |
| Reading | 104.64 | 107.58 |
| GPS | 104.73 | 108.03 |
| Maths | 104.64 | 107.58 |

Raise the percentage of disadvantaged pupils attaining EXS in reading, writing and maths combined. ***2025*** *KS2 RWM PP 66.7% / Non PP 78.8%* | There will continue to be a very limited gap between disadvantaged pupils and their peers.There will be an increase in the percentage of disadvantage pupils attaining ARE in RWM.  |
| Improve the attendance of pupils eligible for the pupil premium grant, narrowing the gap between this group of pupils and their peers.*(****July 2025:*** *PP 93.52% / Non PP 95.8%)*  | All disadvantaged pupils meet the schools attendance target. |

## Activity in this academic year

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium funding) **this academic year** to address the challenges listed above.

### Teaching (for example, CPD, recruitment and retention)

Budgeted cost: 2025/2026 - £11,555

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Measure | Activity | Evidence that supports this approach | Challenge number(s) addressed |
| **Priority 1:**To increase the percentage of disadvantaged pupils attaining EXS & GDS across the curriculum. Identification:Identification that disadvantaged pupils do not attain as well as their peers at GDS standard. | SLT to provide booster QFT to small groups of Year 6 pupils, providing purposeful feedback to enhance progress and tailor lessons according to need.   | EEF research states that good teaching is the most important lever schools have to improve outcomes for Disadvantaged pupils. [Pupil\_Premium\_Guidance.pdf (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)](https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/public/files/Publications/Pupil_Premium_Guidance.pdf) | 1,2, |
| Use of an experienced teacher or EYP to deliver PPA across EYFS to ensure QFT.  |  |
| Refined PP approach supporting disadvantaged pupils and ensure all PP are exposed to live marking and feedback before the end of each lesson to enhance progress made. [OUR APPROACH TO SUPPORTING DISADVANTAGED PUPILS (adobe.com)](https://spark.adobe.com/page/ICYdMYAKfBG7B/?ref=https%3A%2F%2Fmapplewellprimary.org.uk%2Fpupil-premium%2F&embed_type=overlay&context=lightbox-expand) |  |  |
| High quality CPD to be delivered weekly by members of SLT on our teaching and learning approach, marking and feedback and use of target setting and assessment procedures to teachers and support staff.  Bespoke CDP cycles to be delivered to meet individual need. | EFF research suggests that effective feedback improve learning by an additional 8 months. [Feedback | EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)](https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/feedback) |  |
| Development of reading fluency to enhance the daily LIRA session and ensure pupils catch up. Effective deployment of support staff to ensure bottom 20% have regular access to QFT to catch up.  | EFF research suggests that *Fluent reading supports reading comprehension. When pupils read fluently, their cognitive resources can be redirected from focusing on decoding and onto comprehending the text. For this reason, fluency is sometimes described as a bridge from word recognition to comprehension.*[EEF blog: Shining a spotlight on reading fluency | EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)](https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/news/eef-blog-shining-a-spotlight-on-reading-fluency) |  |
| Daily allocated time for the phonics lead to model, monitor and support in the planning and delivery of phonics.   | EEF research evidences that phonics has a positive impact overall (+5 months) with very extensive evidence and is an important component in the development of early reading skills, particularly for children from disadvantaged backgrounds.[Phonics | EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)](https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/phonics) |  |

**Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one support structured interventions)**

Budgeted cost: 2025 2026 - £24,442

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Measure | Activity | Evidence that supports this approach | Challenge number(s) addressed |
| **Priority 1:**To narrow the attainment gap between disadvantaged pupils and their peers. Identification:Identification that disadvantaged pupils do not attain as well as their peers in reading, writing, maths and phonics. | Using pupil progress data analysis and cohort action plans establish small group reading interventions for pupils working below age related expectations. Use a variety of reading assessments to accurately pitch reading interventions and monitor progress over time. Use CGP and CGP Stretch to ensure appropriate pitch and challenge in text level and questioning. Clear intervention timetable to deliver targeted wave 2 to identified pupils, progress monitored and tracked regularly.  | EFF research suggests that small group tuition improves learning by 4 months and reading comprehension strategies by 6 months.[Small group tuition | EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)](https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/small-group-tuition)Reading comprehension strategies are high impact on average (+6 months). Alongside phonics it is a crucial component of early reading instruction.It is important to identify the appropriate level of text difficulty, to provide appropriate context to practice the skills, desire to engage with the text and enough challenge to improve reading comprehension. Effective diagnosis of reading difficulties is important in identifying possible solutions, particularly for older struggling readers. Pupils can struggle with decoding words, understanding the structure of the language used, or understanding particular vocabulary, which may be subject-specific.[Reading comprehension strategies | EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)](https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/reading-comprehension-strategies) | 1,2, |
| 1:1 phonics intervention delivered daily to identified pupils to ensure they quickly catch up and keep up.  | EEF research evidences that phonics has a positive impact overall (+5 months) with very extensive evidence and is an important component in the development of early reading skills, particularly for children from disadvantaged backgrounds.[Phonics | EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)](https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/phonics) |
| Using last year’s academic data and cohort action plans establish small group maths interventions for pupils working below age related expectations.CPD to be delivered to TAs to upskill their mathematical skills and knowledge in delivering effective intervention groups. Research based evidence to be used to support strategies and approaches employed.  | EFF research suggests that small group tuition improves learning by 4 months and reading comprehension strategies by 6 months.[Small group tuition | Toolkit Strand | Education Endowment Foundation | EEF](https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/evidence-summaries/teaching-learning-toolkit/small-group-tuition/)Research identifies that positive outcomes for pupils through targeted intervention from TA support has most impact when time and resources are spent on quality CPD to improve support staff practice.[New EEF Guidance Report - Making Best Use of Teaching Assistants | EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)](https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/news/new-eef-guidance-report-making-best-use-of-teaching-assistants#:~:text=Teaching%20assistants%20should%20not%20be%20used%20as%20substitute,direction%20to%20deliver%20high-quality%20one-to-one%20and%20small-group%20support.) |
|  | Booster classes to take place in order to narrow the gap in Year 6. |  |

**Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)**

Budgeted cost: 2025/2026 - £33,023

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Measure  | Activity | Evidence that supports this approach | Challenge number(s) addressed |
| **Priority 1:**Improve the attendance rates of disadvantaged pupils and reduce the PA for identified families.Identification:July 2025*PP 93.52% / Non PP 95.8%)*  | Develop systems to support families identified on the RAG rated tracking to best improve the attendance of PP pupils.Continued development of Learning Mentor role to work families building stronger home / school links, incorporating EHAs where appropriate.Use of SLA for EWS to support families with attendance issues.Termly planning meetings between EWO and Attendance Lead to combat poor attendance from most vulnerable families. Reinforce school approach to incentives and a rewards system for attendance and PA. Revise reward systems implemented to support attendance and punctuality, including pupil voice. Ensure all stakeholders are aware of the new systems and celebrate these weekly. Monthly meetings to be held to monitor attendance and decide on actions to reduce persistent absence and intervention prior to falling into persistent absence.Review and implement Policy to ensure it is best meeting need and supporting families to improve attendance whilst holding to account.  | There's a clear link between poor attendance and lower academic achievement DfE research (2015) <https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/gov>[Department for Education (publishing.service.gov.uk)](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/412638/The_link_between_absence_and_attainment_at_KS2_and_KS4.pdf) | 5 |
| **Priority 2:**To provide support for pupils with SEMH concerns.Identification:A high proportion of PP pupils enter school with SEMH issues that impacts on learning and development. | Early identification of pupils with SEMH difficulties and clear lines of communication to share intended actions of support to be taken, with parents/carers.Support from Compass Be – local provider of MHST including audit of school provision, CPD for staff, parent workshops and individual / group work with pupils as required. TADs/Nurture/Branching Minds support for identified pupils. Further develop robust monitoring systems to evaluate impact. Learning Mentor / Headteacher to hold half termly meetings to review impact. Learning Mentor support provided to families and where required EHA framework implemented and social services support and intervention.Subscription to National College to provide CPD for staff on SEMH to ensure children’s emotional wellbeing is supported.Further refinement to use of CPOMS and categorising incidents to feed directly into monthly VCFM and identification of actions required. Ensure staff new to school are provided with CPD on the implementation of this.  | Evidence to suggest that personalised support to remove barriers to learning through Learning Mentor support can have a positive impact on outcomes for disadvantaged pupils, parents and families. <https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/resources/teaching-learning-toolkit/behaviour-interventions/> There is extensive evidence associat-ing childhood social and emotional skills with improved outcomes at school and in later life, in relation to physical and mental health, school readiness and academic achievement, crime, employment and income. https://educationendowmentfounda-tion.org.uk/public/files/Publications/ SEL/EEF\_Social\_and\_Emo-tional\_Learning.pdf | 3 |
| **Priority 3:**Continue to improve SEND provision and ensure effective strategies are in place to support the progress and attainment of SEND/PP pupils.Identification:2022 data across all phases shows disadvantaged pupils with SEND are low attaining and progress is not in line with peers.  | Regular SEND KIT meetings HT/SENDCO. SEND/PP pupil progress meetings conducted at key assessments points. Whole school provision map created with SENDCO to ensure all children who are SEN Support are monitored closely and support is provided through graduated approach (Assess, plan, do, review). Clear channels of communication with parents/carers.Further embed relations with parents/carers and gather feedback through audit and survey. SEND pupils needs to be catered for through SMART targets and appropriate adaptations to lessons. Implementation of Provision Map to support accurate target setting and robust approach to monitoring. SENDco to deliver ongoing CPD on how to best use adaptations and basket tasks to allow all pupils to achieve in every lesson.  | Pupils with SEND might face significantly greater challenges in learning than the majority of their peers The impact of SEND on academic attainment is closely related to the EEF’s focus on economic disadvantage: 27% of pupils with special educational needs are eligible for free school meals compared to 12% of pupils without special educational needs. <https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/projects-and-evaluation/how-to-apply/themed-rounds/improving-outcomes-for-pupils-with-send/> | 1, 2, 3, |
| **Priority 4:** Further engage parents/carers in their child’s education and learning.Identification:Disadvantaged pupils come from households with limited stability, poor attitudes to education and low aspirations. Parental engagement is low. | Improved channels of communication to keep parents/carers regularly informed about achievements, learning and up coming events.* Arbor
* Twitter
* Verbal feedback from staff
* Postcards home
* Celebration events
* Seesaw
* Parental workshops

Further embed systems of gaining parental voice through regular surveys and coffee mornings. SLT to review feedback and implement where appropriate to further practice. Reinforce and share with stakeholders.Clear focus to be established on the importance of parental engagement on their child’s development.Refinement of half termly class newsletters to ensure consistency across school.  | EFF research identifies the crucial role parental engagement plays in pupil outcomes.[Parental engagement | EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)](https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/evidence-reviews/parental-engagement) | 4 |

**Total budgeted cost: £69,020**

## Part B: Review of outcomes in the previous academic year

## Pupil premium strategy outcomes

This details the impact that our pupil premium activity had on pupils in the 2021 to 2022 academic year.

|  |
| --- |
| **Review of 2024 2025 outcomes** |
| **Aim** | **Outcome** |
| Attainment in phonics (although previous years data is strong PP in coming cohorts include additional needs of SEND and EAL)  | Phonics data continues to be a strength of the school with a higher percentage of PP than NON PP passing the screening check at the end of Year 1 and both results were at 100% in Year 2.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **% PP passing the screening check** | **% non PP passing the screening check** |
| **Year 1 Summer 2025** | *100% PP* | *92.9% Non PP* |
| **Year 2** **Summer 2025** | 100% | 100% |

 |
| Raise the attainment of pupils eligible for the pupil premium grant in times tables.  | **2025:** APS of PP Y4 MTC increased from 15.50 baseline to 24.20 end of summer term.PP achieving 20+ marks increased from 33% baseline to 100% MTC summer test.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Average point score PP pupils**  | **Average point score Non PP pupils** |
| **Year 4** **Summer 2025** | *APS PP 22.00* | *APS Non PP 23.61* |

 |
| Increase the attainment of pupils in EYFS |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **% PP achieving GLD**  | **% Non PP achieving GLD** |
| **GLD Summer 2025** | *GLD PP 50%* | *Non PP 70.7%* |

 |

## Externally provided programmes

*Please include the names of any non-DfE programmes that you purchased in the previous academic year. This will help the Department for Education identify which ones are popular in England*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Programme | Provider |
| Read, Write, IncTimes Tables Rock StarsNumbotsRollamaSpelling Shed | Ruth Miskin – OxfordMaths Circle LTDMaths Cricle LTCRollama Eduction LTDEdShed LTD |

# Further information

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| When planning our Pupil Premium Strategy Plan we adopted the tiered approach, focusing on:* High quality teaching
* Targeted academic support
* Wider strategies

From this we identified the challenges linked to each tier and the mitigating actions we will take to overcome each barrier and linked each of these tightly with our school improvement plan to ensure they remain highly prioritised and impact of actions is regularly monitored.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Area** | **Challenge** | **Mitigating action** |
| Teaching | Providing adequate time for professional development. | Effective and efficient use of staff meetings and INSET days. |
| Targeted support | Ensuring targeted support is provided by SLT to support staff in delivering interventions. | Senior leadership capacity and support to new SENDco. |
| Wider strategies | Developing a change in mindset to attendance and the importance of education. | Improved channels of communication with parents/carers, including regular texts, emails, visits to school and parental workshops. |

To further strengthen our strategy plan, we have created an approach to supporting disadvantaged pupils. Again, this approach reflects our tiered system above. High quality teaching:Underpinned by early identification, tailored support through QFT, effective feedback and use of regular assessment.Targeted academic support:Incorporating planned teacher support, booster classes and daily reading opportunities. Wider strategies:Fostering a positive approach to relationships with our families and promoting good attendance.[OUR APPROACH TO SUPPORTING DISADVANTAGED PUPILS (adobe.com)](https://spark.adobe.com/page/ICYdMYAKfBG7B/?ref=https%3A%2F%2Fmapplewellprimary.org.uk%2Fpupil-premium%2F&embed_type=overlay&context=lightbox-expand) |